

ORIGINAL

Oct 25, 2006

Margie Salza
Federal Energy Reg Comm
888 First St N.E. 10th Fl
Washington DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Madam;

I'm writing to express my
Opposition to Broadwater. It
is costly, and constant armed sec
is expensive and disruptive

Sincerely,
Virginia Blomberg
161 Brookside Dr
Smithtown Ny 11787

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

NOV -6 A 9 50

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

Oct 25, 2006

Margaret R. Salas

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

888 First St. N.E., Rm 1A

Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Madam,

I'm writing to express my
opposition to Broadwater. It
is costly, and constant armed
security is expensive and
disruptive.

Sincerely
William Blomberg
161 Archville Dr.
Burlington, N.Y. 11787

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 50

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

"Open our eyes to behold your gracious hand
in all your works; that rejoicing in your whole
creation we may learn to serve you with gladness..."

To Magalie K. Salas
ORIGINAL
CP06-54

Broadwater is too costly, risky, and
not needed in the Sound and
the constant armed private security
disrupts the peaceful tranquil
waters of the Sound

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
NOV -6 A 9:53
ENERGY
COMMISSION

Lisa Deato
216 Holly Lane
Smithtown NY 11787
208

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 10:01

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

October 27, 2006


Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Louis Linhart
263 N Queens Avenue
N. Massapequa, NY 11758

ORIGINAL

10/27/06

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St., N.E. Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 59

REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Sincerely,

Krista Ruzelsky

ORIGINAL

10/27/06

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St., N.E. Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 59

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Sincerely,



ORIGINAL

October 27, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Reg. Comm.
888 First St. NE Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9:55
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Dear Commissioner Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Please respond in writing and inform me of your position.

Sincerely,



James Baldenko
220 Hamilton Avenue
Massapequa, NY 11758

ORIGINAL

October 27, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Reg. Comm.
888 First St. NE Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 55

RECEIVED BY SECRETARY
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Dear Commissioner Salas:

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Please respond in writing and inform me of your position.

Sincerely,



Nicholas Baldenko
220 Hamilton Avenue
Massapequa, NY 11758

ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9 55
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

How do you think this Liquid Natural Gas terminal would benefit Long Island? I look at this project and see only problems. The Long Island Sound is of major importance to the people that live here. Whether it's the commercial fishers that depend on it or, the people that just go there to enjoy its beauty and the water, you would still be obstructing their fun. Plus, what if a fire were to break out as it most likely will? How more accidents do you think long island can afford? As if we don't have enough oil spills and fires, and erosion of beaches, you have to go find another way to destroy the gorgeous environment we were provided with. We don't even have nearly enough man power to prevent disaster should an accident occur. It would be too high a risk of lives, and too much a waste of money putting this Liquid Natural Gas terminal on the Long Island Sound. If an accident ever occurred, there wouldn't e enough people to help fight it and any fire could spread and destroy homes and lives. Some people can barely afford the high cost of living on long island as it is they don't need another reason to pay higher taxes, especially after some schools have just had many clubs and classes cut from their school program because of budget cuts. Please save Long Island the time, money and people it would waste, and don't build this Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal.

Sincerely,
Alec Monteiro

ORIGINAL

To Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
CP06-54

I am a concerned citizen of Massapequa, I believe Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Sincerely,

The family at 133 Parkhill Ave.
Massapequa, N.Y. 11758

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9 53
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Augusta Hansen
William Delise
Ashley Hansen

ORIGINAL

To whom it may concern,

CP06-54

I am writing in regards to this horrible idea of putting a LNG barge in the middle of Long Island Sound. The Long Island Sound has always been a body of water enjoyed by the public for fishing, recreational boating, and commercial boat traffic. The presence of a LNG barge would hinder these activities greatly. From a financial standpoint, this plan to put a LNG barge in the Sound makes no sense. It will only raise taxes due to the fact Long Island is currently incapable of protecting this proposed facility. It is unfair to dump the expense of running, maintaining and protecting the LNG barge on taxpayers who already pay high taxes to live here. Most importantly the LNG barge is an environmental hazard waiting to happen. If some kind of accident were to happen, the Sound and the surrounding areas would never be the same. In addition this facility would just be another target for terrorist attack. We don't need more places that would be prone to terrorist attacks. I'm asking you to use your political power to stop this horrible plan from ever taking place. The Long Island Sound is not commercial property for some monster corporation to build its next ridiculous investment idea. Help us keep the Sound in its current beautiful form and not ruin it with this dangerous project. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,



Dolores Kearney
13 Rakeigh Ln
Kings Park, NY 11754

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -6 A 9 52

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY


ORIGINAL

To whom it may concern,

CP06-54

I am writing in regards to this horrible idea of putting a LNG barge in the middle of Long Island Sound. The Long Island Sound has always been a body of water enjoyed by the public for fishing, recreational boating, and commercial boat traffic. The presence of a LNG barge would hinder these activities greatly. From a financial standpoint, this plan to put a LNG barge in the Sound makes no sense. It will only raise taxes due to the fact Long Island is currently incapable of protecting this proposed facility. It is unfair to dump the expense of running, maintaining and protecting the LNG barge on taxpayers who already pay high taxes to live here. Most importantly the LNG barge is an environmental hazard waiting to happen. If some kind of accident were to happen, the Sound and the surrounding areas would never be the same. In addition this facility would just be another target for terrorist attack. We don't need more places that would be prone to terrorist attacks. I'm asking you to use your political power to stop this horrible plan from ever taking place. The Long Island Sound is not commercial property for some monster corporation to build its next ridiculous investment idea. Help us keep the Sound in its current beautiful form and not ruin it with this dangerous project. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,



John Kearney
13 Raleigh Ln
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9 52
REGISTRATION DIVISION

BW008833


ORIGINAL

To whom it may concern,

CP06-54

I am writing in regards to this horrible idea of putting a LNG barge in the middle of Long Island Sound. The Long Island Sound has always been a body of water enjoyed by the public for fishing, recreational boating, and commercial boat traffic. The presence of a LNG barge would hinder these activities greatly. From a financial standpoint, this plan to put a LNG barge in the Sound makes no sense. It will only raise taxes due to the fact Long Island is currently incapable of protecting this proposed facility. It is unfair to dump the expense of running, maintaining and protecting the LNG barge on taxpayers who already pay high taxes to live here. Most importantly the LNG barge is an environmental hazard waiting to happen. If some kind of accident were to happen, the Sound and the surrounding areas would never be the same. In addition this facility would just be another target for terrorist attack. We don't need more places that would be prone to terrorist attacks. I'm asking you to use your political power to stop this horrible plan from ever taking place. The Long Island Sound is not commercial property for some monster corporation to build its next ridiculous investment idea. Help us keep the Sound in its current beautiful form and not ruin it with this dangerous project. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,



James Kenney
13 Bakers Ln.
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
NOV - 6 A 9 52
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 51

REGULATORY COMMISSION

27 Gull Drive
Hauppauge, NY 11788
October 24, 2006

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern:

We have been lifetime residents of Long Island and are concerned with the Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal proposed for the Long Island Sound.


As lifetime residents, we have seen cycles of marine disruption to the fish and fishing industry as well as unidentified "spills" that have damaged coastal Long Island. The thought of a large terminal for gas storage in the middle of Long Island Sound can only contribute to the possible destruction of our marine estuaries.

Furthermore, such a facility, in our opinion, would be a target for terrorism and be too costly to adequately protect. The sight of gunned security vessels would be disturbing.

We ask that you consider the economic and environmental impact of this proposal and do not set a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Long Island Sound.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,



FREDERICK L. PHIN, JR.
TERESA D. PHIN

ORIGINAL

Oct. 24, 2006

Margalite R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

FILED THE
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 4 51
Federal Energy Commission

Dear Ms. Salas:

This letter is being sent to tell of our
concerns about the Broadwater venture in Long
Island Sound.

We are opposed to this program. Our main
concern is environmental contamination,
most of all the threat of terrorism.

We also feel it is too costly and risky. We
would be very vulnerable and unable to
protect such a large area from attack from
land, sea, air or even subs.

Please write & inform us what is your
position in this matter. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Leah Loughlin
12 Marwood Dr
Hempstead, NY 11788

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

28 Park Wood Lane
Kings Park, NY 11754
October 23, 2006

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St.N.E, Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -6 A 9 49
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Attention Magalie R. Salas:


CP06-54

I am writing to you in regard to a concern of mine, The Sound. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound, the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Mother Nature has been mad at man kind for some time now and the tsunami and hurricanes, earthquakes and other natural disasters are at testament to that theory. Why on earth would we want to destroy the natural order of things by disrupting a beautiful and natural flow of wildlife, and beauty such as the Long Island Sound.

I say NO, no to Broadwater.

Sincerely,



Renee Rosenwald

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

28 Park Wood Lane
Kings Park, NY 11754
October 23, 2006

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2006 NOV -6 A 9 49
888 First St.N.E, Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54


Attention Magalie R. Salas:

I am writing to you in regard to a concern of mine, The Sound. Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound, the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound.

Mother Nature has been mad at man kind for some time now and the tsunami and hurricanes, earthquakes and other natural disasters are at testament to that theory. Why on earth would we want to destroy the natural order of things by disrupting a beautiful and natural flow of wildlife, and beauty such as the Long Island Sound.

I say NO, no to Broadwater.

Sincerely,



Rena Rosenwald

ORIGINAL 10/26/06

Magalie R. Salas

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A

Washington DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9:49
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear R. Salas,

CP06-54

The Broadwater proposal is both too costly and risky and not needed in L.I. Sound, and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful & tranquil waters of L.I. Sound. Therefore, I oppose the project.

J. Ronald Sweriski
17 Lewis Lane

SYOSSET, NY 11791

ORIGINAL

10/24/06

To Whom it May Concern,

CP06-54

Broadwater is Too Costly,
Risky and Not needed in the
Sound is the constant armed
Private Security disrupts
the Peaceful Tranquil waters
of the Sound

Don O. Longi
Gregory R. Paine

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 48

ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

10/26/2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 48

Dear Magalie R Salas,

CP06-54

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil Long Island Sound will be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Sincerely,

Witold Koziel

Agnieszka Koziel

Natania Koziel

Veronika Koziel

5 Robert Circle

Syosset NY 11791

ORIGINAL

10/26/2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 47

Magalie R Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St.
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,

Lisa Acunzio

October 27, 2006

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54

To: Margaret K. Salas

2006 NOV -6 A 9 47

Long Island Sound does not need a
costly, ugly, LNO barge. The tranquil L.I.S.
would be forever ruined by private armed
security forces surrounding the barge. This limits
public access + sets a dangerous precedent of
industrializing the Sound.

Sincerely

Linda C. Besserman

Linda C. Besserman
85 Eagle Lane
Hauppauge, NY 11788

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 46

October 27, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54


Dear Mrs. Salas:

I am writing to voice my concerns about Broadwater. It is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. It limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Please respond to me informing me of your position.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Lisa Kirschbaum
260 Toronto Ave.
Massapequa, NY 11758

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 46

October 27, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Mrs. Salas:

I am writing to voice my concerns about Broadwater. It is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. It limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Please respond to me informing me of your position.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Steve Kirschbaum
260 Toronto Ave.
Massapequa, NY 11758

ORIGINAL

Laura Jayne

2 Autumn Court
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 45

October 24, 2006

Magalie R. Salas

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION


Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am writing you today in protest of the Broadwater Project. It is my belief that the project would be too costly, too risky, and an inappropriate use of a treasured estuary. Any disruption of this project would constitute a potential hazard to all of the surrounding seashore and thus require constant armed security. It is my hope that you will not set the very dangerous precedent of industrializing the Long Island Sound.

Sincerely,



Laura Jayne

ORIGINAL

John Jayne

2 Autumn Court
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9:45

October 24, 2006

Magalie R. Salas

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am writing you today in protest of the Broadwater Project. It is my belief that the project would be too costly, too risky, and an inappropriate use of a treasured estuary. Any disruption of this project would constitute a potential hazard to all of the surrounding seashore and thus require constant armed security. It is my hope that you will not set the very dangerous precedent of industrializing the Long Island Sound.

Sincerely,



John Jayne

05-11-11

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

70 Old Route 25A
Fort Salonga, NY 11768]
October 23, 2006

To

2006 NOV -6 A 9 44
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern:,

As a resident of Long Island I am extremely concerned about the proposed placement of Broadwater in Long Island Sound. I do feel it would be a dangerous addition to an environmentally sensitive area.

Long Island Sound **does not** need a costly, risky, LNG barge.

I do hope you will vote against this proposal.

Thank you,

Charles Campisi

Charles Campisi
70 Old Route 25A
Fort Salonga NY 11768

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

70 Old Route 25A
Fort Salonga, NY 11768]
October 23, 2006

To

2006 NOV -6 A 9 45

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern:,

As a resident of Long Island I am extremely concerned about the proposed placement of Broadwater in Long Island Sound. I do feel it would be a dangerous addition to an environmentally sensitive area.

Long Island Sound **does not** need a costly, risky, LNG barge.

I do hope you will vote against this proposal.

Thank you,



Gale Campisi
70 Old Route 25A
Fort Salonga NY 11768

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

11 Robin Drive
Hauppauge, New York 11788
October 24, 2006

2006 NOV -6 A 9 44

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,

I would like to inform you that as a resident of a north shore community in Suffolk County, New York, I am strongly opposed to the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal in the middle of Long Island Sound. This Broadwater LNG Terminal would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed at all in the Long Island Sound. This Terminal would be an inappropriate use of the Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil use of the Sound.

Please send me a response indicating what your position is on this issue.

Sincerely,

Christopher Citro

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

11 Robin Drive
Hauppauge, New York 11788
October 24, 2006

2006 NOV -6 A 9 44

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,

I would like to inform you that as a resident of a north shore community in Suffolk County, New York, I am strongly opposed to the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal in the middle of Long Island Sound. This Broadwater LNG Terminal would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed at all in the Long Island Sound. This Terminal would be an inappropriate use of the Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil use of the Sound.

Please send me a response indicating what your position is on this issue.

Sincerely,

Joseph Citro

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

11 Robin Drive
Hauppauge, New York 11788
October 24, 2006

2006 NOV -6 A 9 44

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,

I would like to inform you that as a resident of a north shore community in Suffolk County, New York, I am strongly opposed to the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal in the middle of Long Island Sound. This Broadwater LNG Terminal would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed at all in the Long Island Sound. This Terminal would be an inappropriate use of the Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil use of the Sound.

Please send me a response indicating what your position is on this issue.

Sincerely,

Patricia Citro

Patricia Citro

Wienckowski
311 Rose Lane
Smithtown, New York 11787

October 25, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426


CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9 43
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

We would like you to know that we feel Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Long Island already is too expensive to live in, and the only reason why we put up with the expense is because of our beautiful waters. More and more people are moving away because of the expenses; please do not add another reason.

Sincerely,



Madison Wienckowski

ORIGINAL

Wienckowski
311 Rose Lane
Smithtown, New York 11787

October 25, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 4 43
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

We would like you to know that we feel Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Long Island already is too expensive to live in, and the only reason why we put up with the expense is because of our beautiful waters. More and more people are moving away because of the expenses; please do not add another reason.

Sincerely,



Dana Wienckowski

ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First st, N.E, RM 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 43


FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

To whom it may concern,

I just want you to know that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Thank you very much for your time and concern in this matter.

Sincerely


95 Michigan Ave
Mass Ny 11758

ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First st, N.E, RM 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9:43

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

To whom it may concern,

I just want you to know that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound. Thank you very much for your time and concern in this matter.

Sincerely,

Frank B. B. B.
95 Michigan Ave
Mass 0211758

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Geraldine A. Briggs
31 Winnecomac Circle
Kings Park, NY 11754

2006 NOV -6 A 9 41

October 23, 2006

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E., Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54


Dear M. Salas,

I am writing to you in response to the Broadwater project being contemplated for the Long Island Sound. It is my understanding that Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in Long Island Sound. I have been informed that the facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary and that our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge.

I also am concerned that the Broadwater facility will limit public access and set a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Long Island Sound which is totally unacceptable to me.

Please respond in writing informing me of your position on this subject matter. I appreciate your support.

Sincerely,



ORIGINAL

Raymond M. Briggs
31 Winnecomac Circle
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 42

October 23, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E., Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54


Dear M. Salas,

I am writing to you in response to the Broadwater project being contemplated for the Long Island Sound. It is my understanding that Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in Long Island Sound. I have been informed that the facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary and that our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge.

I also am concerned that the Broadwater facility will limit public access and set a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Long Island Sound which is totally unacceptable to me.

Please respond in writing informing me of your position on this subject matter. I appreciate your support.

Sincerely,



ORIGINAL

Nicole L. Briggs
31 Winnecomac Circle
Kings Park, NY 11754

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

October 23, 2006

2006 NOV -6 A 9 42

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E., Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Dear M. Salas,

I am writing to you in response to the Broadwater project being contemplated for the Long Island Sound. It is my understanding that Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in Long Island Sound. I have been informed that the facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary and that our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge.

I also am concerned that the Broadwater facility will limit public access and set a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Long Island Sound which is totally unacceptable to me.

Please respond in writing informing me of your position on this subject matter. I appreciate your support.

Sincerely,

Nicole L. Briggs

ORIGINAL

To whom it may concern:

October 25, 2006

CP06-54

I am against the Broadwater venture. It is expensive, risky and it is not needed in the Long Island Sound. We want the Sound to remain peaceful, because we and the families on Long Island spend a lot of time at the beach during the summer.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Katelyn Rorke

Katelyn Rorke
33 Merrivale Drive
Smithtown NY 11787

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 41

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

06-10-11

To whom it may concern:

October 25, 2006

CP06-54

I am against the Broadwater venture. It is expensive, risky and it is not needed in the Long Island Sound. We want the Sound to remain peaceful, because we and the families on Long Island spend a lot of time at the beach during the summer.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Christina Rorke

Christina Rorke
33 Merrivale Drive
Smithtown NY 11787

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9 41
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

To whom it may concern:

October 25, 2006

CP06-54

I am against the Broadwater venture. It is expensive, risky and it is not needed in the Long Island Sound. We want the Sound to remain peaceful, because we and the families on Long Island spend a lot of time at the beach during the summer.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Juliette Rorke

Juliette Rorke

33 Merrivale Drive

Smithtown NY 11787

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9:41
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 39

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Maureen E. Arasmo
178 Hickory Lane
Smithtown, NY 11787

 **ORIGINAL**


October 25, 2006

CP06-54

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Rm # 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Please be advised that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound. Furthermore, the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Maureen E. Arasmo

Cc: CCE @ Farmingdale

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Veronica D. Wolber
178 Hickory Lane
Smithtown, NY 11787

2006 NOV -6 A 9 39

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION


October 25, 2006

CP06-54

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Rm # 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Please be advised that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound. Furthermore, the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Veronica D. Wolber

Cc: CCE @ Farmingdale

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -6 A 9 39

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Shannon Arasmo
178 Hickory Lane
Smithtown, NY 11787


October 25, 2006

CP06-54

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E. Rm # 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Please be advised that I feel that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound. Furthermore, the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,



Shannon Arasmo

Cc: CCE @ Farmingdale

CP06-54
Oct. 26, 2006

Magdalena R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St., N.E. Rm 11A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -6 A 9 38
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Broadwaters is too costly, noisy and not
needed on the Sound & the constant armed
private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil
waters of the sound.

Mrs. Ursula M. Meehan
(URSULA MEEHAN)
20 DOROTHY DRIVE
SYOSSET, NY 11791